

PHOTOCLINIC PEER REVIEWED

Foreign Body Appendicitis

Volume 59 - Issue 2 - February 2019

Authors:

Mark Ryan Hincapie, MD, and Kuo Chen, MD

Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida College of Medicine–Jacksonville and Wolfson Children's Hospital, Jacksonville, Florida

Citation:

Hincapie MR, Chen K. Foreign body appendicitis. Consultant. 2019;59(2):55-57.

A 2-year-old otherwise healthy girl presented to a hospital emergency department with 2 days of worsening oral intolerance, worsening nonspecific abdominal pain, and nonbilious nonbloody vomiting. At presentation, the child had her knees to her chest. Her vital signs were within normal limits for age. At first glance, she appeared to have the prototypical prodrome of a viral illness. She had no diarrhea, hematochezia, respiratory distress, or chest pain. Her family history and travel history were noncontributory.

Diagnostic tests. Laboratory test results indicated a leukocytosis of 19,000 cells/ μ L with a neutrophil predominance, elevated inflammatory markers (erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein), and ketotic anion gap metabolic acidosis, which could have been attributed to her lack of oral intake and moderate dehydration. The patient's laboratory test results are summarized in the **Table**.

Analyte	Patient's Value	Reference Range
Sodium	132 mEq/L	135-145 mEq/L
Potassium	4.5 mEq/L	3.5-5 mEq/L
Chloride	93 mEq/L	101-110 mEq/L
Carbon dioxide	16 mEq/L	21-29 mEq/L
Blood urea nitrogen	12 mg/dL	6-22 mg/dL
Creatinine	0.32 mg/dL	0.51-0.95 mg/dL
Glucose	73 mg/dL	60-105 mg/dL
Magnesium	2 mEq/L	1.3-2.0 mEq/dL
Phosphorus	4.6 mg/dL	3.8-6.2 mg/dL
Alkaline phosphatase	244 U/L	35-104 U/L
Albumin	4.8 g/dL	3.8-4.9 g/dL
Total protein	7.5 g/dL	6.5-8.3 g/dL
Lipase	12 U/L	0-60 U/L
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate	22 mm/h	0-20 mm/h
C-reactive protein	40.3 mg/dL	0.1-2.8 mg/dL
White blood cells	19,200/µL	5000-14,500/µL
Hemoglobin	12.1 g/dL	9.5-13.5 g/dL
Hematocrit	33.5%	30%-40%
Mean corpuscular volume	77.2 μm³	70-84 µm³
Platelets	429 × 10 ³ /μL	140-440 × 10 ³ /µ
Neutrophils	68.4%	23%-45%
Lymphocytes	24.4%	44%-69%
Monocytes	5.9%	2%-6%
Eosinophils	0.2%	1%-4%
Urine pH	5.5	5.5-8.0
Urine glucose	Negative	Negative
Urine nitrite	Negative	Negative
Urine leukocyte esterase	Negative	Negative
Urine blood	Negative	Negative
Urine urobilinogen	Normal	Normal
Urine ketones	80 mg/dL	Negative
Urine bacteria	None	None

Due to concern about intermittent abdominal pain in the setting of the child found with knees to her chest, a limited abdominal ultrasonography scan was conducted, the findings of which indicated no element of intussusception. Plain radiography was performed to rule out stool burden, the findings of which incidentally showed a foreign body that appeared to be a pen tip in the right lower quadrant (**Figure 1**).

[H]

Figure 1. A plain radiograph showed a 2.9-mm metallic density over the right iliac wing.

Out of concern for her worsening pain and a small amount of free fluid seen in the abdomen, she was transferred to a tertiary children's hospital in case surgical intervention was required.

Upon transfer, the girl's pain became localized to the right lower quadrant and was persistent. Another ultrasonography scan was conducted by an experienced pediatric radiologist (**Figure 2**), the results of which showed the foreign body pen tip within the appendix.



Figure 2. An ultrasonogram of the right lower quadrant showed a normal appendix with an echogenic focus within the appendix suggestive of a foreign body.

Shortly thereafter, the patient became febrile, with a temperature of 39.3°C. As a result, she was scheduled for a laparoscopic appendectomy. An additional preoperative ultrasonogram showed no interval changes from previous imaging findings.

Treatment. Due to the combination of right lower abdominal pain, a foreign body within the appendix on imaging, fever, and her worsening condition, a pediatric surgeon successfully performed a laparoscopic appendectomy. Pathology evaluation of the specimen indicated mild chronic appendicitis, appendiceal dilatation, and foreign body within the lumen.

Outcome of the case. The patient experienced immediate relief of symptoms after the appendectomy, and she had no secondary symptoms postoperatively. She ultimately was discharged home in stable condition within 24 hours with an excellent prognosis.

Discussion. When most swallowed foreign bodies pass the cricopharyngeus muscle, they traverse the gastrointestinal (GI) tract without complication and without the need for intervention. A lack of progress of a foreign body along the GI tract typically is attributed to the anatomy, such as a stricture, Meckel diverticulum, or some other anatomical reason. The appendiceal orifice is a rarely documented anatomical cause of poor advancement of a foreign body.

A foreign body within the appendix is a very rare finding that may require immediate attention if secondary inflammation and infection occurs. Appendicitis is the most common worldwide

surgical emergency.¹ It typically occurs from obstruction of the lumen by a fecalith, lymphoid hyperplasia, adhesions, or a tumor. However, rarely a foreign body may lodge within the lumen and fail to progress through the distal GI tract.² Most objects will pass through enterically, but some may remain in the appendix for some time before an inflammatory response is incited.^{3,4}

Appendicitis secondary to foreign body has a reported prevalence of 0.0005%.^{5,6} These foreign bodies have included pins, needles, tongue piercings, screws, crown posts, teeth, stones, bullets, fruit seeds, keys, worms, dice, jewelry, dog hair, drill bits, and intrauterine devices.^{5,6} The incidence of foreign bodies within the appendix is not known, but 66% will be intermittently symptomatic with right iliac fossa pain.⁶ A foreign object in the right lower quadrant, which has a higher risk of GI tract perforation, typically localizes the object to the terminal ileum, cecum, or appendix. Seventy-five percent of appendiceal foreign bodies are elongated, sharp objects that may lead to perforation, periappendiceal abscess, and peritonitis. On the other hand, rounded objects are involved in 12% of appendiceal incarceration cases and can result in fecal coating and obstruction.⁶⁻⁸ Many foreign bodies, including sharp objects, may not yield serious complications, with the exception of batteries, magnets, and foreign bodies larger than 6 cm in length and 2.5 cm in diameter.⁹

Approximately 98% of the 100,000 annual pediatric cases of foreign body ingestion are accidental.^{3,10} Endoscopic retrieval is necessary in 10% to 20% of cases due to obstruction of the upper GI tract. However, most foreign bodies reach the stomach and are expelled without consequence.¹¹ Fewer than 1% of foreign object ingestions lead to surgical intervention due to appendiceal lumen obstruction.^{3,6,11,12} Some case reports have indicated that foreign bodies may not induce an inflammatory response, while others have indicated that acute inflammation and perforation can occur.^{7,13}

The relative dependent position of the cecum and low peristalsis are insufficient to expel foreign bodies into the large bowel. ^{3,6,14} Foreign body entry is dependent on the size and appearance of the appendiceal orifice. ⁶ Areas in which the intestines narrow can lead to foreign body impaction, infection, or perforation. Perforation secondary to a foreign body commonly occurs in the ileocecal junction and the sigmoid colon. ¹⁵ Once incarcerated within the appendiceal lumen, foreign body morphology determines risk of obstruction, appendicitis, abscess formation, or perforation. ⁶

Foreign bodies can cause appendicitis from either inflammation or obstruction. When objects do not pass enterically, persistent right lower quadrant pain and fever may result, requiring close supervision. Ultrasonography is the ideal diagnostic modality because it is least costly and involves minimal radiation. Since most foreign bodies are radiopaque, serial radiographs can be important for identifying position.^{6,16-18} One report specified that a foreign body within the right

iliac fossa on 2 successive plain films in the setting of severe abdominal pain must be considered for foreign body appendicitis.¹⁹

Complications from foreign body obstruction in the appendix are dependent on the size and shape of the foreign body. Given operative potential and morbidity with progression, prophylactic appendectomy is suggested by many, although there is no official guideline.²⁰ **References**

- 1. Chandrasegaram MD, Rothwell LA, An EI, Miller RJ. Pathologies of the appendix: a 10-year review of 4670 appendicectomy specimens. *ANZ J Surg.* 2012;82(11):844-847.
- 2. Green SM, Schmidt SP, Rothrock SG. Delayed appendicitis from an ingested foreign body. *Am J Emerg Med.* 1994;12(1):53-56.
- 3. Antonacci N, Labombarda M, Ricci C, Buscemi S, Casadei R, Minni F. A bizarre foreign body in the appendix: a case report. *World J Gastrointest Surg.* 2013;5(6):195-198.
- 4. Rodríguez-Hermosa JI, Codina-Cazador A, Sirvent JM, Martín A, Gironès J, Garsot E. Surgically treated perforations of the gastrointestinal tract caused by ingested foreign bodies. *Colorectal Dis.* 2008;10(7):701-707.
- 5. Balch CM, Silver D. Foreign bodies in the appendix. Arch Surg. 1971;102(1):14-20.
- 6. Klingler PJ, Seelig MH, DeVault KR, et al. Ingested foreign bodies within the appendix: a 100-year review of the literature. *Dig Dis.* 1998;16(5):308-314.
- 7. Kim JH, Lee DS, Kim KM. Acute appendicitis caused by foreign body ingestion. *Ann Surg Treat Res.* 2015;89(3):158-161.
- 8. Sar S, Mahawar KK, Marsh R, Small PK. Recurrent appendicitis following successful conservative management of an appendicular mass in association with a foreign body: a case report. *Cases J.* 2009;2:7776.
- 9. Park J-M, Kim SY, Chung IY, et al. A Case of successful endoscopic and conservative treatment for intentional ingestion of sharp foreign bodies in the alimentary tract. *J Trauma Inj.* 2013;26(4):304-307.
- 10. Kay M, Wyllie R. Pediatric foreign bodies and their management. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep.* 2005;7(3):212-218.
- 11. Eisen GM, Baron TH, Dominitz JA, et al; Standards of Practice Committee of the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Guideline for the management of ingested foreign bodies. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2002;55(7):802-806.
- 12. Butterworth J, Feltis B. Toy magnet ingestion in children: revising the algorithm. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2007;42(12):e3-e5.
- 13. Benizri El, Cohen C, Bereder JM, Rahili A, Benchimol D. Swallowing a safety pin: report of a case. *World J Gastrointest Surg.* 2012;4(1):20-22.
- 14. Sama CB, Aminde LN, Njim TN, Angwafo FF III. Foreign body in the appendix presenting as acute appendicitis: a case report. *J Med Case Rep.* 2016;10(1):129.
- 15. Jayachandra S, Eslick GD. A systematic review of paediatric foreign body ingestion: presentation, complications, and management. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2013;77(3):311-317.
- 16. Campbell JPM, Gunn AA. Plain abdominal radiographs and acute abdominal pain. *Br J Surg.* 1988;75(6):554-556.

- 17. Rothrock SG, Green SM, Hummel CB. Plain abdominal radiography in the detection of major disease in children: a prospective analysis. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1992;21(12):1423-1429.
- 18. Rothrock SG, Pagane J. Acute appendicitis in children: emergency department diagnosis and management. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2000;36(1):39-51.
- 19. Ngom G, Amadou I, Ngaringuem O, Ndour O. 'PEN' appendicitis. *J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg.* 2010;15(2):74-75.
- 20. Kramer RE, Lerner DG, Lin T, et al. Management of ingested foreign bodies in children: a clinical report of the NASPGHAN Endoscopy Committee. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2015;60(4):562-574.

НМР Education НМР Omnimedia НМР Europe

© 2024 HMP Global. All Rights Reserved. Cookie Policy Privacy Policy Term of Use